








起 案 用 紙

(決裁区分) (取扱区分)

<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">甲</div>		起 案 日 平成26年5月8日	主 管 総務課
決 裁 日	5月8日	発 送	月 日
決 裁	局 長  次 長  次 長  (主管) 総務課長  総務課長補佐  渉外調整官 視察委員会担当 休 起案者  		
文 書 番 号	文 書 日 付	年 月 日	発 送 種 別
宛 先	高裁案 ()	発 信 人	
件 名	東京新聞特別報道部からの取材依頼に係る回答(案)について		
本年5月7日、東京新聞特別報道部から、先に東日本センター内において発生した被収容者の			
死亡事案に関する取材依頼の申し入れ(別添1参照)があり、今般、本省の依頼に応じ、事務局で			
同取材に対する回答案(別添2参照)を作成したので、その記載内容及び先方に対する回答主管			
等についてご高配を賜りたく仰裁いたします。			

5月7日

法務省入国管理局
入国者収容所視察委員会御中
広報係長 ヨシモト様

東京新聞 特別報道部


千代田区内幸町2-1-4

◎取材のお願い

日頃は弊紙の取材と報道に格段のご理解とご協力をいただき、誠にありがとうございます。さて3月末に東日本入国管理センターに収容されている外国人の死亡に関して、入国者収容所視察委員会にいくつか質問があります。

以上よろしくお願ひ申し上げます。

東京新聞特別報道部からの取材依頼に係る回答（案）



The first part of the study was a pilot study involving 10 participants. The purpose of the pilot study was to determine the feasibility of the study and to identify any potential problems. The pilot study was successful in that it identified several potential problems that were addressed in the main study. The main study involved 30 participants and was conducted over a period of 12 weeks. The participants were divided into two groups: a control group and an experimental group. The control group received a standard treatment, while the experimental group received a new treatment. The results of the study showed that the new treatment was significantly more effective than the standard treatment. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups.

The second part of the study was a follow-up study involving 10 participants. The purpose of the follow-up study was to determine the long-term effectiveness of the new treatment. The follow-up study was successful in that it showed that the new treatment was still significantly more effective than the standard treatment after 12 weeks. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups.

The third part of the study was a comparison study involving 10 participants. The purpose of the comparison study was to determine the relative effectiveness of the new treatment compared to the standard treatment. The comparison study was successful in that it showed that the new treatment was significantly more effective than the standard treatment. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups.

The fourth part of the study was a validation study involving 10 participants. The purpose of the validation study was to determine the reliability of the new treatment. The validation study was successful in that it showed that the new treatment was reliable. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups. The study was well-received by the participants and the results were consistent across the different groups.